Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6157

Dark Matter-Dark Energy Coupling: A New Paradigm in Cosmology

Zhemin Chen*

Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

*Corresponding author: zhemin_chen@alumni.brown.edu

Abstract:

The search for interactions between dark matter and dark energy represents a frontier in modern cosmology as it challenges the standard ΛCDM paradigm where these components evolve independently. This review synthesizes theoretical and observational advances in understanding such couplings, which offer compelling solutions to longstanding cosmological problems. Theoretically, interacting models naturally address the cosmic coincidence problem through dynamical attractor solutions, while phenomenologically they provide mechanisms to alleviate tensions in Hubble constant and structure growth measurements. This paper demonstrates how different coupling scenarios such as scalar field interactions, modified gravity theories, and phenomenological models distinctly modify both cosmic expansion history and structure formation. Current constraints from cosmological probes, including CMB, large-scale structure, and supernovae data, severely restrict but do not eliminate viable parameter space for interactions. The coupling strength is constrained to $|\xi| \lesssim 0.01$ which leaves room for detectable signals in next-generation surveys. Future observations from CMB-S4, Euclid, and LSST will provide critical tests through multi-messenger approaches combining expansion history and growth of structure measurements.

Keywords: Dark energy, dark matter, cosmological parameters, cosmological tensions, beyond ΛCDM cosmology.

1. Introduction

The standard ΛCDM cosmological model has served as the cornerstone of modern cosmology, as it provides a robust framework for understanding the evolution and composition of the universe [1]. According to this model, the universe is primarily composed of dark matter (DM) and dark energy (DE), which together account for approximately 95% of its total energy density [2]. While dark matter is responsible for the formation of large-scale structures through gravitational clustering, dark energy, often modeled as a cosmological constant Λ, drives the accelerated expansion of the universe [3].

Despite its remarkable success, the ΛCDM model faces persistent challenges in reconciling certain observational discrepancies, such as the Hubble tension and the σ_8 tension [4]. These anomalies suggest potential shortcomings in the standard paradigm and have motivated the exploration of new physics. One promising direction is the possibility of a non-gravitational interaction between dark matter and dark energy [5]. Such a coupling could provide a dynamical mechanism to address these tensions and offer a better understanding of the dark sector physics.

This work aims to explore the theoretical foundations and observational implications of the dark matter–dark energy coupling model. The goal is to summarize a unified framework that incorporates such interactions and examine their effects on cosmic evolution and structure formation [6]. Additionally, the paper will discuss current and future observational constraints on coupling models and their potential to reshape the understanding of cosmology [7].

2. Dark Matter and Dark Energy: A Brief Overview

2.1 Dark Matter

Dark matter is a non-luminous, non-baryonic form through gravity and possibly the weak nuclear force [5]. Its existence is inferred from a multitude of gravitational effects on galactic and cosmological scales [8]. Promising particle candidates include Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs), which arise naturally in supersymmetric theories [9]; axions, extremely light particles proposed to solve the CP-violation problem in quantum chromodynamics [10]; and sterile neutrinos, heavier relatives of the standard model neutrinos [11]. The primary role of DM is to act as the gravitational seeds for structure formation, as gravitational pull provides the necessary seeds for the collapse of gas and the subsequent formation of galaxies and large-scale structure [12].

2.2 Dark Energy

Dark energy is a mysterious component responsible for the observed accelerated expansion of the universe. The simplest explanation is the cosmological constant (Λ) which represents a constant energy density permeating the vacuum of space. However, the immense fine-tuning problem associated with Λ has motivated alternative dynamic models, such as quintessence, which posits a slowly evolving scalar field [13]. Other models include phantom energy and modifications to general relativity [14]. Unlike DM which clumps under gravity, DE is typically thought to be smooth and exerts a negative pressure, therefore driving the universe apart and influencing the evolution of large scale structure by suppressing the growth of cosmic formations.

2.3 Current Status

The properties of DM and DE are constrained by a combination of powerful observational probes. Precision measurements of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) by missions like Planck provide a snapshot of the early universe and tightly constrain the densities of DM and DE [15]. Observations of Type Ia supernovae serve as standard candles to map the expansion history and confirm cosmic acceleration [16]. Surveys of large-scale structure (LSS) and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) trace the distribution of matter and further constrain the models. Despite this wealth of data, fundamental challenges remain. The nature and origin of both DM and DE are unknown which lists them among the most profound mysteries in modern physics. The inability to reconcile the observed value of Λ with particle physics predictions (the cosmological constant problem) and the lack of direct detection of DM particles underscore the limitations of the current understanding.

3. Theoretical Framework for DM-DE Coupling

3.1 The Need for Coupling

One of the most persistent theoretical puzzles in modern cosmology is the cosmic coincidence problem, which questions the energy densities of dark matter (DM) and dark energy (DE) roughly on the same scale at the present epoch. In the standard ΛCDM model, this appears as a remarkable fine-tuning of initial conditions. Therefore, it would be natural to introduce an interaction between DM and DE that provides a dynamical mechanism to address this problem. This coupling can lead to a common evolution path for both components, resulting in a late-time attractor solution where the ratio of these two densities evolves slowly or becomes constant, therefore naturally explaining why the authoe observe $\rho_{DM} \sim \rho_{DE}$ today [5].

3.2 Coupling Models

Various theoretical frameworks have been proposed to incorporate dark matter-dark energy (DM-DE) coupling, offering distinct approaches to modeling this potential interaction. One prominent class of models builds upon scalar field theories, wherein dark energy is described by

ISSN 2959-6157

a dynamical scalar field φ as in quintessence or k-essence formulations. Within this framework, coupling is typically introduced by establishing a direct interaction between the scalar field and dark matter, often implemented through a field-dependent dark matter mass such as $m_{DM} \propto exp(C_{\varphi} / M_{Pl})$, where C represents a dimensionless coupling constant and M_{Pl} denotes the Planck mass. This implementation naturally gives rise to a source term Q in the conservation equations that governs energy exchange between the dark sectors [5].

Alternatively, modified gravity theories such as Horndeski gravity or $f(R)$ gravity provide another compelling approach to DM-DE coupling. These theories effectively introduce coupling through an additional scalar degree of freedom responsible for dark energy effects, which inherently interacts with the matter sector including dark matter. For instance, certain $f(R)$ gravity models employ a chameleon mechanism that creates an effective coupling between the scalar field and matter densities [17].

Beyond these theoretically motivated approaches, phenomenological models offer a more flexible framework by introducing coupling terms directly into the fluid conservation equations. The energy-momentum conservation for the dark sectors is modified as

$$\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu}_{(DM)} = +Q^{\nu} \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu}_{(DE)} = -Q^{\nu} \tag{2}$$

where Q^{ν} represents the interaction current. In the context of a homogeneous and isotropic universe described by the FLRW metric, a common phenomenological parametrization takes $Q^{\nu} = Qu^{\nu}$, with u^{ν} being the common four-velocity of the fluids. This leads to modified background continuity equations:

$$\dot{\rho}_{DM} + 3H\rho_{DM} = Q \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{DE} + 3H(1+\omega_{DE})\rho_{DE} = -Q \tag{4}$$

The functional form of Q is typically chosen based on physical considerations, with frequently adopted parametrizations including $Q = \xi H \rho_{DM}$ or $Q = \xi H \rho_{DE}$, where ξ quantifies the interaction strength [18].

3.3 Dynamics of Coupled Systems

The introduction of coupling fundamentally alters cosmic evolution through several mechanisms. The background evolution undergoes significant modification, as solutions to the coupled continuity equations demonstrate that ρ_{DM} and ρ_{DE} evolve differently compared to the standard uncoupled case. For example, energy transfer from dark matter to dark energy $(Q > 0)$ can precipitate an earlier onset of dark energy domination, substantially affecting the expansion history $H(z)$. Furthermore, coupling profoundly impacts structure formation by modifying the growth of matter perturbations $\delta_m \equiv \delta\rho_m / \rho_m$. The linear perturbation growth equation in the sub-horizon regime transforms to

$$\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\dot{\delta}_m - 4\pi G_{eff}\rho_m\delta_m = 0 \tag{5}$$

where the effective gravitational constant G_eff becomes scale-dependent and may deviate from Newton's constant G due to the interaction. In many coupling scenarios, this leads to $G_{eff} \approx G[1+2\beta^2]$, where β represents a coupling parameter that enhances structure growth [19]. This modified growth behavior provides a crucial observational signature for distinguishing coupled models from standard ΛCDM and is particularly relevant for addressing tensions in large-scale structure observations.

4. Observational Signatures of DM-DE Coupling

4.1 Effects on Cosmic Expansion

DM-DE coupling directly modifies the background evolution of the universe. The interaction alters the Hubble expansion rate $H(z)$ relative to the standard ΛCDM prediction. For a coupling of the form $Q = \xi H \rho_{DM}$, the Friedmann equation and the modified conservation equations lead to:

$$H^2(z) = H_0^2\left[\Omega_r(1+z)^4 + \Omega b(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{DM} f(z) + \Omega_{DE} g(z)\right] \tag{6}$$

where $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are functions encoding the effect of the coupling on the DM and DE evolution, respectively. This modification affects the redshift–distance relationship, altering the luminosity distance $d_L(z)$ measured by Type Ia supernovae and the angular diameter distance $d_A(z)$ inferred from Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO). Precise measurements of these distance scales provide strong constraints on the coupling strength ξ.

4.2 Effects on the Cosmic Microwave Background

The coupling between dark matter and dark energy imprints distinct signatures on the cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropy power spectrum. By altering the expansion history of the universe, the coupling modifies the angular scale of the sound horizon at recombination, leading to a systematic shift in the positions of the acoustic peaks. Additionally, the modified late-time expansion enhances the integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect, which increases the power spectrum amplitude on large angular scales (low multipole moments). On small scales, changes in the growth rate of perturbations induced by the coupling can suppress power in the damping tail of the CMB spectrum. Current observational data from the Planck and WMAP missions place stringent constraints on these deviations, limiting the coupling strength for constant coupling models to $|\xi| \lesssim 0.1$ at the 95% confidence level [20].

4.3 Effects on Large–Scale Structure

DM-DE coupling significantly affects structure formation. The matter power spectrum $P(k)$ is altered in both amplitude and shape. The growth equation for matter perturbations is modified as:

$$\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\left(1 - \frac{Q}{2H\rho_{DM}}\right)\dot{\delta}_m - 4\pi G\rho_m\delta_m = 0 \tag{7}$$

This can lead to either an enhancement or suppression of growth on different scales. Consequently, the number density of massive halos and the cluster mass function are altered. Current constraints from galaxy surveys like the Dark Energy Survey (DES) and future missions like Euclid will significantly improve limits on coupling parameters through precise measurements of weak gravitational lensing, galaxy clustering, and the abundance of galaxy clusters [21].

4.4 Effects on Cosmic Tensions

Coupled DE models can potentially alleviate the H_0 tension by modifying the late-time expansion history, therefore increasing the inferred value of H_0 from CMB data when analyzed in a coupled framework [28]. Meanwhile, by suppressing the growth of structure at late times $\left(\sigma_8(z=0) \propto \delta_m(z=0)\right)$, certain coupling models such as energy flow from DM to DE implies dark energy extracting energy from dark matter therefore weakening the gravitational clustering, which reduces the σ_8 value and brings it closer to weak lensing measurements and thus mitigating the S_8 tension [22].

4.5 Gravitational Wave Signatures

DM-DE coupling can influence the propagation of gravitational waves (GWs). In many models, the effective metric for GW propagation differs from the standard Einstein metric. The GW luminosity distance $d_L^{gw}(z)$ becomes different from the electromagnetic luminosity distance $d_L^{em}(z)$:

$$\frac{d_L^{gw}(z)}{d_L^{em}(z)} = exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^z \frac{dz'}{1+z'}\delta(z''')\right) \tag{8}$$

where δ(z) quantifies the deviation from general relativity, potentially sourced by the DM-DE coupling [23]. Precise measurements of this ratio from multi-messenger events by LIGO, Virgo, and future detectors like LISA offer a novel and independent probe of such interactions in the dark sector.

5. Constraints on DM-DE Coupling

5.1 Current Observational Constraints

The strength and form of dark matter-dark energy coupling are stringently constrained by a combination of cosmological observations and theoretical requirements. From an observational perspective, joint analyses of cosmological datasets—including cosmic microwave background measurements from Planck, large-scale structure data from surveys such as SDSS and DES, baryon acoustic oscillations, and Type Ia supernovae—provide the most robust constraints on coupling parameters. For a simple phenomenological coupling of the form $Q = \xi H\rho_{DM}$, the coupling constant is limited to $|\xi| \lesssim O(10^{-3} - 10^{-2})$ at 95% confidence level. This stringent bound arises because excessive coupling modifies observable features such as the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect, the amplitude of CMB acoustic peaks, and the low-redshift matter power spectrum in ways inconsistent with current high-precision data. Independently, astrophysical observations of galaxy clusters—using X-ray or Sunyaev–Zel'dovich effect measurements—constrain coupling through its potential impact on halo mass functions and internal cluster structure, such as the concentration-mass relation. The absence of significant anomalies in these systems further supports the constraint that coupling strengths must be minimal, offering complementary limits to those derived from cosmological probes [24].

5.2 Theoretical Constraints

Theoretically, viable coupled models must satisfy funda-

ISSN 2959-6157

mental stability and conservation conditions. A critical requirement is the avoidance of unphysical scenarios, such as negative energy densities in the future. For a constant dark energy equation of state ω_{DE}, this leads to the stability condition $\xi(1+w_{DE})\geqslant 0$ [25]. Additionally, models must be free from pathologies such as superluminal sound speeds ($c_s^2 \geqslant 0$) or ghost instabilities, ensuring positive kinetic energy for perturbations. While energy-momentum is exchanged between dark matter and dark energy, the total energy-momentum tensor of the combined dark sector must be conserved as $\nabla_\mu(T^{\mu\nu}_{(DM)}+T^{\mu\nu}_{(DE)})=0$. The coupling should also adhere to the second law of thermodynamics to prevent non-physical entropy reduction over cosmic evolution.

5.3 Parameter Space

The interplay between observational and theoretical constraints defines a narrow viable parameter space for coupled models. In many cases, the allowed range for the coupling parameter ξ is consistent with zero within uncertainties. For example, combined CMB, BAO, and supernova analyses typically confine ξ to the interval $-0.05<\xi<0.01$ when $\omega_{DE}=-1$. A major challenge in refining these constraints is the strong degeneracy between ξ and other cosmological parameters, particularly the dark energy equation of state ω_{DE} and the Hubble constant H_0. For instance, a negative coupling ($\xi<0$), indicating energy transfer from dark energy to dark matter, can mimic the observational signatures of a phantom equation of state $(w<-1)$. Breaking this degeneracy demands exceptionally precise and multi-probe datasets. Interestingly, the persisting Hubble tension may itself hint at a small but non-zero coupling, suggesting that the final word on dark sector interactions may still lie ahead as more advanced observational facilities come online.

6. Implications for Cosmology

The possibility of a non-gravitational interaction between dark matter and dark energy presents a fundamental challenge to the standard ΛCDM model, in which these two components evolve independently. Introducing coupling transforms ΛCDM into an extended theoretical framework that allows for dynamic energy exchange, significantly influencing both the cosmic expansion history and the growth of structure [5]. Such interacting models produce modified evolutionary trajectories that offer testable alternatives to the standard paradigm, potentially providing better agreement with observational data.

The impact of coupling extends profoundly to structure formation. Whereas dark matter clusters under gravity to form the cosmic web, dark energy—often modeled as a cosmological constant or a dynamical field like quintessence—acts as a smooth component with negative pressure, driving accelerated expansion and suppressing the growth of large-scale structure. The introduction of coupling modulates the growth rate of density perturbations, altering the matter power spectrum and the abundance of cosmic structures across scales.

A major theoretical motivation for considering dark matter-dark energy coupling is its potential to resolve the cosmic coincidence problem—the puzzling observation that their energy densities are comparable today. Certain interacting models naturally give rise to attractor solutions where the ratio of dark matter to dark energy density remains approximately constant over extended cosmological periods, transforming an apparent fine-tuning into a natural outcome of the dynamics [7].

Furthermore, the study of dark sector couplings opens pathways to testing physics beyond the standard cosmological and particle physics models. The phenomenological signatures of such interactions are often degenerate with predictions from modified gravity theories, such as f(R) or Horndeski gravity, meaning cosmological observations can serve as indirect probes of these alternative frameworks. In addition, coupling scenarios emerge naturally in high-energy physics constructions, including string theory and extra-dimensional models, linking observable cosmology to fundamental theories beyond the Standard Model.

7. Future Prospects

The next decade will bring a new generation of observational facilities capable of delivering unprecedented insights into the dark sector. Future CMB experiments, such as the Simons Observatory and CMB-S4, will measure the polarization and temperature anisotropies of the CMB with exquisite precision, dramatically tightening constraints on any interaction that alters the acoustic peak structure or the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect. Simultaneously, large-scale spectroscopic and photometric surveys—including Euclid, the Vera C. Rubin Observatory's LSST, and DESI—will map the distribution of galaxies and the cosmic web in immense detail. These surveys will provide powerful measurements of the growth of structure through weak lensing, baryon acoustic oscillations, and redshift-space distortions, offering a direct test of whether the observed large-scale structure is consistent with interacting dark energy. Furthermore, space-based gravitational wave observatories like LISA will provide a completely independent probe by measuring the luminosity distance to merging black holes and neutron stars, testing whether gravitational waves propagate as in general relativity or are affected by dark sector couplings.

On the theoretical front, significant work remains to be done. A primary challenge is to develop microphysically motivated and mathematically consistent models of dark sector interactions that are not merely phenomenological. These models must be fully relativistic, cosmologically stable, and capable of making clear, testable predictions that can be confronted with data. Another major challenge is to perform robust statistical analyses to resolve the persistent tensions that appear when coupled models are fit to the full suite of cosmological data, particularly between early-universe (CMB) and late-universe (LSS, SNe) observables.

Looking forward, the ultimate key to unlocking the secrets of the dark sector lies in a multi-messenger approach. No single observation will be conclusive. Instead, combining traditional electromagnetic probes from optical, X-ray or radio telescopes with new gravitational wave data and even neutrino astronomy will provide complementary constraints and help break degeneracies between model parameters. This endeavor requires close collaboration across traditional disciplinary boundaries, leveraging synergies between cosmology, particle physics, and astrophysics to build a coherent picture of dark energy and dark matter.

Overall, the confirmation of a non-gravitational interaction between dark matter and dark energy would represent a revolution in the understanding of the universe. It would constitute the discovery of a new fundamental force beyond those of the Standard Model of particle physics, acting primarily within the dark sector. This would not only solve cosmological puzzles like the coincidence problem but also provide a crucial window into physics at ultra-high energies, potentially offering clues towards a long-sought unified theory of the cosmos.

8. Conclusion

The investigation into dark matter-dark energy coupling extends the standard cosmological model by proposing interactions between these fundamental components. Theoretically, such coupling offers a dynamical solution to the cosmic coincidence problem through attractor solutions that naturally maintain comparable energy densities. Observationally, while ΛCDM remains largely consistent with data, persistent tensions in Hubble constant and structure growth measurements allow room for alternative scenarios. Coupled models can alleviate these tensions by modifying late-time cosmic evolution, though current constraints from CMB, large-scale structure, and supernovae data restrict interaction strength to $|\xi| \lesssim 0.01$.

Significant challenges remain, particularly regarding the microphysical origin of such interactions and degeneracies with other beyond-ΛCDM physics. Future research should develop physically motivated models and leverage next-generation surveys to perform consistency tests between expansion history and structure growth. The study of DM-DE coupling bridges cosmology, particle physics, and gravity, with potential to either reveal new fundamental forces or significantly constrain theoretical frameworks. The coming decade of observational data will provide crucial tests in this pursuit.

References

[1] Planck Collaboration. Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 2020, 641: A6.

[2] PEEBLES P J E, RATRA B. The cosmological constant and dark energy. Reviews of Modern Physics, 2003, 75(2): 559-606.

[3] RIESS A G, FILIPPENKO A V, CHALLIS P, et al. Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. The Astronomical Journal, 1998, 116(3): 1009-1038.

[4] DI VALENTINO E, MELCHIORRI A, SILK J. Cosmology intertwined: A review of the particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology associated with the cosmological tensions and anomalies. Journal of High Energy Astrophysics, 2022, 34: 49-211.

[5] AMENDOLA L. Coupled quintessence. Physical Review D, 2000, 62(4): 043511.

[6] WANG B, MENG X H. Cosmological constraints on interacting dark energy models. International Journal of Modern Physics D, 2005, 14(1): 1347-1362.

[7] ZIMDAHL W, PAVÓN D, CHIMENTO L P. Interacting quintessence. Physics Letters B, 2001, 521(3-4): 133-138.

[8] BERTONE G, HOOPER D, SILK J. Particle dark matter: evidence, candidates and constraints. Physics Reports, 2005, 405(5-6): 279-390.

[9] RUBIN V C, FORD W K. Rotation of the Andromeda nebula from a spectroscopic survey of emission regions. The Astrophysical Journal, 1970, 159: 379-403.

[10] JUNGMAN G, KAMIONKOWSKI M, GRIEST K. Supersymmetric dark matter. Physics Reports, 1996, 267(5-6): 195-373.

[11] PECCEI R D, QUINN H R. CP conservation in the presence of pseudoparticles. Physical Review Letters, 1977, 38(25): 1440-1443.

[12] DODELSON S, WIDROW L M. Sterile neutrinos as dark matter. Physical Review Letters, 1994, 72(1): 17-20.

ISSN 2959-6157

[13] WEINBERG S. The cosmological constant problem. Reviews of Modern Physics, 1989, 61(1): 1-23.
[14] RATRA B, PEEBLES P J E. Cosmological consequences of a rolling homogeneous scalar field. Physical Review D, 1988, 37(12): 3406-3427.
[15] HINSHAW G, LARSON D, KOMATSU E, et al. Nine-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: cosmological parameter results. The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 2013, 208(2): 19.
[16] SCOLNIC D M, JONES D O, REST A, et al. The complete light-curve sample of spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia from Pan-STARRS1 and cosmological constraints from the combined pantheon sample. The Astrophysical Journal, 2018, 859(2): 101.
[17] BRAX P, VAN DE BRUCK C, DAVIS A C, et al. f(R) gravity and chameleon theories. Physical Review D, 2008, 78(10): 104021.
[18] CLEMSON T, KOYAMA K, MAARTENS R, et al. Interacting dark energy: constraints and degeneracies. Physical Review D, 2012, 85(4): 043007.
[19] DARK ENERGY SURVEY COLLABORATION. The Dark Energy Survey. The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 2016, 239(2): 23.
[20] DI VALENTINO E, MELCHIORRI A, MERSINI-HOUGHTON L, et al. Interacting dark energy in the early 2020s: A promising solution to the H0 and cosmic shear tensions. Physics of the Dark Universe, 2020, 30: 100666.
[21] POURTSIDOU A, TRAM T. Reconciling CMB and structure growth measurements with dark energy interactions. Physical Review D, 2016, 94(4): 043518.
[22] ARAI S, NISHIZAWA A. Generalized framework for testing gravity with gravitational-wave propagation. II. Constraints on Horndeski theory. Physical Review D, 2018, 97(10): 104038.
[23] SALVATELLI V, MARCHINI A, LÓPEZ HONORATO L G, et al. Indications of a late-time interaction in the dark sector. Physical Review Letters, 2014, 113(18): 181301.
[24] CAO S, RYAN J, RATRA B. Using the S8 tension to test three models of interacting dark matter-dark energy. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 2022, 652: A146.
[25] VALIVIITA J, MAJEROTTO E, MAARTENS R. Instability in interacting dark energy and dark matter fluids. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2008(7): 020.