Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6157

Ultra-Light Dark Matter as a Bose-Einstein Condensate: Galactic-Scale Quantum Effects

Chenghan Yu*

School of Physical Science,
University of California Irvine,
Irvine, 92617, USA
*Corresponding author: cyu26@uci.edu

Abstract:

Ultra-Light Dark Matter (ULDM) composed of extremely light bosonic particles presents a promising alternative to Cold Dark Matter for addressing small-scale structure formation challenges. This study investigates the theoretical framework for ULDM as a galactic-scale Bose-Einstein condensate, with emphasis on quantum effects manifesting at astronomical scales. The research employs theoretical analysis of the Schrödinger-Poisson system, examination of numerical simulation results, and comparison with observational constraints from dwarf galaxy dynamics, gravitational lensing, and large-scale structure observations. Key findings demonstrate that quantum pressure effects naturally produce flat-cored density profiles in galactic centers, resolving the core-cusp problem without requiring additional feedback mechanisms. The wave nature of ULDM creates characteristic suppression in small-scale structure formation, reducing predicted satellite galaxy numbers to match Local Group observations. However, observational constraints from the Lyman-α forest impose stringent lower bounds on particle masses ($m > 2.3\times10^{-21}\mathrm{eV}$) that create tension with the optimal mass range ($m \sim 10^{-22}\mathrm{eV}$) for addressing galactic-scale problems. Numerical simulations reveal computational challenges in resolving quantum wavelengths while environmental effects significantly modify idealized theoretical predictions. The study concludes that while ULDM provides intrinsic quantum solutions to small-scale structure problems, reconciling galactic dynamics with large-scale constraints remains a fundamental challenge requiring refined theoretical models and advanced observational strategies.

Keywords: Ultra-light dark matter; Bose-Einstein condensate; Quantum pressure; Schrödinger-Poisson system.

ISSN 2959-6157

1. Introduction

Dark matter constitutes approximately 85% of the total matter content in the universe, representing one of modern cosmology's most fundamental unsolved problems [1]. Despite extensive observational evidence from galactic rotation curves, gravitational lensing, and cosmic microwave background radiation firmly establishing its existence [2], the fundamental nature of dark matter remains enigmatic, with growing tensions between theoretical predictions of Cold Dark Matter (CDM) and high-resolution observational data [3].

The conventional CDM model faces significant challenges at galactic scales, including the cusp-core problem, missing satellites problem, and rotation curve diversity [4, 5]. These discrepancies motivate exploration of alternative paradigms that maintain CDM's large-scale successes while addressing small-scale challenges.

Ultra-Light Dark Matter (ULDM) proposes dark matter consists of extremely light bosonic particles (10^-24 to 10^-20 eV) with de Broglie wavelengths comparable to galactic scales [6]. This enables quantum mechanical effects to manifest astronomically, potentially resolving CDM's small-scale challenges through wave-like behavior [7, 8]. ULDM's quantum nature creates distinctive phenomena absent in classical models—wave interference patterns, solitonic breathing modes, and granular density fluctuations—offering unique observational signatures in gravitational lensing maps and stellar kinematics [7, 9].

Applying Bose-Einstein Condensation physics to dark matter extends laboratory quantum physics to cosmic scales [10, 11], allowing ultra-light bosonic particles to form coherent condensates within galactic potential wells [9]. The resulting macroscopic quantum state could potentially be detected through precision atomic clocks, gravitational wave observatories, and interference patterns in galactic density profiles [6].

The Scalar Field Dark Matter model provides a unified framework for understanding quantum effects in cosmic environments [12], employing the Klein-Gordon equation that reduces to the Schrödinger-Poisson system in the non-relativistic limit [13]. This framework incorporates quantum pressure effects counteracting gravitational collapse, producing solitonic core formation and small-scale structure suppression [14].

Recent studies demonstrate ULDM can address CDM's small-scale challenges [4], as quantum pressure prevents cusped density profiles [1] and wave effects create power spectrum cutoffs reducing satellite galaxy numbers [2]. However, a critical tension has emerged as observational constraints from the Lyman-α forest place stringent limits on particle mass ($m > 2.3\times10^{-21}\mathrm{eV}$), directly conflicting with the mass range ($m \sim 10^{-22}\mathrm{eV}$) required to resolve galactic structure problems [10]. This mass discrepancy presents the central puzzle in ULDM research that this paper explores.

The primary objective of this research is to investigate the theoretical framework for ULDM as a galactic-scale Bose-Einstein condensate, with emphasis on observable quantum effects in galactic structures. Secondary goals include examining the mathematical foundations of the Schrödinger-Poisson system at cosmic scales, identifying distinctive observational signatures differentiating ULDM from conventional CDM models, and evaluating the implications of the mass-scale tension.

This paper establishes the theoretical framework for ULDM condensation (Section 2), analyzes galactic-scale quantum effects (Section 3), identifies observational signatures and constraints (Section 4), examines numerical simulation methodologies (Section 5), discusses theoretical implications (Section 6), and concludes by addressing challenges and future research directions (Section 7).

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Ultra-Light Dark Matter Properties

Ultra-Light Dark Matter consists of extremely light bosonic particles with masses ranging from $10^{-24}?to?10^{-20}?eV$, yielding thermal de Broglie wavelengths $\lambda_{dB}?=?\frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi?m?k_B?T}}$ comparable to galactic scales (~1 kpc for $m \sim 10^{-22}\mathrm{eV}$) at typical galactic temperatures) [1]. This correspondence enables quantum mechanical effects to manifest at astronomical distances, distinguishing ULDM from classical particle dark matter [2]. The bosonic nature allows macroscopic occupation of quantum states, leading to coherent wavefunctions extending across galactic volumes [3].

The cosmological evolution of ULDM exhibits quantum pressure effects that modify structure formation compared to CDM [1]. The quantum Jeans scale $k_J = \left(16\pi G\rho m^2\right)^{1/4}$ determines the minimum scale for gravitational instability, creating a natural cutoff in the matter power spectrum below which quantum pressure prevents structure formation [2]. This suppression mechanism provides an intrinsic solution to small-scale CDM problems without requiring additional feedback processes [4].

2.2 Bose-Einstein Condensation Mechanism

Dark matter halos can undergo Bose-Einstein condensation when the phase space density exceeds critical thresholds determined by the particle mass and gravitational potential [3]. The critical temperature $T_c \sim \left(\frac{n}{g}\right)^{2/3} \frac{\hbar^2}{2mk_B}$ characterizes the condensation threshold, where gravitational cooling naturally drives the system toward ground state occupation [1]. The resulting macroscopic wavefunction $\psi(r,t)$ serves as the order parameter for the condensed phase, with $|\psi|^2$ representing particle density and phase gradients determining velocity fields [2].

The ground state configuration minimizes total energy in the gravitational potential well, leading to solitonic solutions that balance quantum kinetic energy against gravitational potential energy [4]. These solutions exhibit flat central cores with exponential tails, naturally explaining observed core-cusp discrepancies in galactic rotation curves [3]. The macroscopic coherence creates long-range quantum correlations involving approximately 10^{60} particles occupying the same quantum state [1].

2.3 Governing Equations and Mathematical Framework

The evolution of ULDM as a Bose-Einstein condensate is governed by the coupled Schrödinger-Poisson system [1]:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + m\Phi(r,t) \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G |\psi|^2 m \quad (2)$$

This self-consistent description couples quantum matter evolution with classical gravity, where quantum pressure $P_\psi = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} \frac{|\nabla|\psi}{|^2\,||\psi|^2}$ provides repulsive forces that counteract gravitational collapse at small scales [2]. The hydrodynamic formulation through the Madelung transformation $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} exp\left(\frac{iS}{\hbar}\right)$ yields fluid equations with additional quantum pressure terms [3].

The system exhibits well-defined classical limits: the Thomas-Fermi approximation for large-scale structures and recovery of the collisions Boltzmann equation at wavelengths much smaller than λ_{dB} [1]. This ensures ULDM models reproduce CDM successes on large cosmological scales while providing quantum corrections on galactic scales [4].

3. Galactic-Scale Quantum Effects

3.1 Wave Interference and Density Fluctuations

ULDM's wave nature creates interference phenomena manifesting as density modulations on galactic scales [1]. Multi-path interference creates constructive and destructive patterns that modulate local matter density, providing unique signatures absent in classical dark matter [2, 4].

Quantum interference creates granular substructure scaling as $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim \left(\frac{\lambda_{dB}}{R}\right)^{3/2}$ [1]. This granularity creates speckle patterns most pronounced in dwarf galaxies, generating potential fluctuations that can heat stellar populations over gigayear timescales [2, 4].

3.2 Solitonic Core Formation Wave

The ground state configuration corresponds to solitonic solutions that minimize total energy in the gravitational potential well, where quantum pressure precisely balances gravitational force [1]. These cores exhibit flat central density profiles with exponential tails, naturally explaining observed core-cusp discrepancies [4]. For dwarf galaxies, observations suggest core radii of approximately $r_c \sim 300\text{pc}$, with core masses $M_c \sim 1.2 \times 10^7 \mathrm{M}_\odot \left(\frac{m}{10^{-22}\text{eV}}\right)^{-3/2}$ [2].

Solitonic cores support discrete collective oscillation modes, with the breathing mode representing spherically symmetric density oscillations on $\sim 100\text{Myr}$ timescales [9]. The cores can undergo random walk motion driven by quantum interference, causing gravitational center fluctuations that create asymmetric stellar distributions [4]. Environmental effects such as supermassive black holes make cores compacter and denser, while tidal fields can disrupt coherent quantum states [11,13].

3.3 Quantum Pressure and Structure Suppression

Quantum pressure $P_\psi = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} \frac{|\nabla|\psi\|^2}{|\psi|^2}$ increases at smaller scales, providing natural resistance to gravitational collapse below the de Broglie wavelength [1]. This creates a fundamental cutoff in the matter power spectrum, suppressing structure formation below characteristic length scales without requiring additional feedback processes [2]. The quantum Jeans scale determines the minimum mass for gravitational instability, naturally resolving the miss-

ISSN 2959-6157

ing satellites problem [4].

The suppression of small-scale structure reduces predicted satellite galaxy numbers to match Local Group observations [1]. The smooth cutoff creates a natural explanation for ultra-faint dwarf galaxy properties and their apparent minimum mass scale [2]. These effects preserve CDM successes on large scales while addressing galactic-scale anomalies through intrinsic quantum mechanisms [4].

3.4 Rotation Curves and Halo Profiles

The central solitonic core predicted by ULDM leaves a distinctive and testable imprint on galactic rotation curves. Inside this quantum core, the model naturally produces the flat or slowly rising velocity profiles observed in many galaxies, which then transition smoothly to a classical, NFW-like profile in the outer halo. This transition point provides a unique observational signature, as its location is not an arbitrary parameter but is instead determined by the fundamental mass of the ULDM particle. Encouragingly, observational studies, particularly of dwarf galaxies, have shown remarkable agreement with these predictions. Furthermore, the model can account for the observed diversity in rotation curve shapes by considering how environmental factors—such as tidal perturbations or interactions with baryonic matter—can modify the properties of the central soliton. This allows ULDM to not only remain consistent with observations of large spiral galaxies but also provide improved fits to their inner regions, demonstrating a seamless connection between the quantum and classical regimes across a wide range of halo masses [1, 2, 4].

4. Observational Signatures and Constraints

4.1 Galactic Dynamics Tests

Dwarf galaxies provide ideal laboratories for testing ULDM due to large de Broglie wavelengths making quantum pressure effects pronounced [1,4]. High-resolution rotation curve measurements of Local Group dwarfs show remarkable agreement with solitonic core predictions, with observed core radii $r_c \sim 300\text{pc}$ consistent with theoretical expectations [2, 4].

Satellite galaxy populations around the Milky Way and Andromeda provide additional constraints. The observed deficit compared to CDM predictions aligns with quantum pressure suppression of small-scale structure formation, creating testable predictions for dark matter particle mass [1, 4].

4.2 Gravitational Lensing Signatures

Gravitational lensing provides powerful probes independent of baryonic physics [7]. ULDM's wave nature produces granular density fluctuations that modify lensing caustic structures, creating observable deviations from CDM predictions [1,7]. Galaxy-galaxy strong lensing systems show evidence for modified central density profiles consistent with solitonic cores [15].

Weak lensing surveys reveal suppressed small-scale power creating distinctive signatures in convergence power spectra, providing strong constraints on dark matter particle mass [1,7].

4.3 Cosmological Structure Formation Constraints

Large-scale structure observations provide fundamental tests of ULDM models across cosmic scales [1]. The matter power spectrum exhibits a characteristic suppression below the free-streaming scale, where quantum pressure prevents gravitational collapse [2]. This creates a sharp cutoff that differs qualitatively from the exponential suppression in warm dark matter models, providing a unique observational fingerprint [4].

Lyman-α forest observations from high-redshift quasars place stringent lower bounds on the ULDM particle mass through measurements of small-scale density fluctuations [5]. The transmitted flux power spectrum is sensitive to matter clustering on scales affected by quantum pressure, yielding constraints of $m > 2.3\times10^{-21}\text{eV}$ from current data [2]. This lower limit creates significant tension with the mass range $m \sim 10^{-22}\text{eV}$ favored by dwarf galaxy dynamics, highlighting a key challenge for ULDM models [5].

The 21cm signal during cosmic reionization provides complementary constraints by probing structure formation at high redshifts [5]. ULDM models with $m < 10^{-21}\text{eV}$ predict delayed formation of low-mass halos, affecting the timing and morphology of the first ionizing sources [1]. Future 21cm observations are expected to provide sensitive tests of ULDM models across a wide range of masses [5].

Galaxy clustering measurements reveal modified correlation functions at small separations due to the quantum cutoff in the matter power spectrum [4]. Two-point statistics show reduced clustering amplitude below the characteristic scale $\lambda_{dB} ? = ? \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi ? m ? k_B ? T}}$, providing mass-dependent signatures that complement other probes [1]. Cross-correlations between different galaxy populations exhibit systematic variations that may help break degeneracies with baryonic physics [4].

4.4 Current Observational Status and Tensions

The combination of current observational constraints reveals fundamental tensions in the ULDM parameter space that may be irreconcilable [1]. Observational studies suggest that the canonical mass range $m \sim 10^{-22}\mathrm{eV}$, which would be most effective at resolving small-scale CDM problems, is strongly challenged by current observations [1]. However, Lyman-α forest observations impose much stronger lower bounds, with recent analyses requiring $m > 2.3\times10^{-21}\mathrm{eV}$ for FDM comprising the majority of dark matter [2].

This constraint discrepancy spans nearly two orders of magnitude, creating a fundamental tension for ULDM viability [1]. Recent analyses have pushed lower bounds even higher, making the tension with galactic dynamics increasingly severe [5]. These constraints effectively rule out the mass range $m \sim 10^{-22}\mathrm{eV}$ that would be most effective at resolving CDM's small-scale problems [1].

The 21cm observations provide additional constraints that further narrow the viable parameter space [5]. Current analyses suggest that ULDM models with $m < 10^{-21} eV$ are strongly disfavored when considering structure formation timing during reionization [1]. The combination of these constraints leaves no clear mass range where ULDM simultaneously addresses small-scale problems while satisfying large-scale structure observations [5].

Several potential resolutions have been proposed, including systematic uncertainties in thermal history modeling, environmental effects on solitonic cores, and modifications beyond the simplest ULDM scenarios [1]. However, recent high-precision analyses suggest these systematics are insufficient to resolve the fundamental tension [5]. The current observational evidence indicates that ULDM in its simplest form faces severe challenges as a viable alternative to CDM [1].

5. Numerical Simulations

5.1 Current Observational Status and Tensions

ULDM simulation presents fundamental challenges exceeding conventional N-body approaches [1]. Direct resolution of quantum wavelengths ($\lambda_{dB} \sim 1\mathrm{kpc for} m \sim 10^{-22}\mathrm{eV}$) is computationally impossible in cosmological volumes, requiring effective methods capturing essential physics [1,4].

Hartman et al. developed hydrodynamic approximations for SIBEC-DM, transforming the Schrödinger equation into fluid equations with effective thermal pressure [12]. This enables 3D cosmological simulations while avoiding direct wavelength resolution [1,12].

5.2 Current Observational Status and Tensions

ULDM halo formation mechanisms vary significantly between different model variants [4]. Standard ULDM without self-interactions relies purely on quantum pressure, while SIBEC-DM includes additional self-interaction pressure that modifies core formation dynamics [12]. These different physical mechanisms lead to distinct predictions for halo structure and scaling relations [1].

In SIBEC-DM simulations, Hartman et al. found that halos develop NFW envelopes with cored centers due to combined thermal and self-interaction pressures. The core formation process involves the balance between gravitational collapse and pressure support. However, the effective thermal pressure eventually dominates over self-interaction pressure in all regions [12].

The observational comparison reveals significant challenges for SIBEC-DM models. Scaling relations for core radii, densities, and masses derived from simulations generally do not agree with trends observed in Milky Way dwarf spheroidals and nearby galaxies. Even for core radii $R_c \approx 1\mathrm{kpc}$, the simulated scaling relations fail to match observational data [12].

The tension between SIBEC-DM predictions and observations extends to detailed scaling behavior. Simulations predict steeper dependencies than observed, with core densities following $\delta_c \propto M_{200}^{0.5}$ versus observed $\delta_c \propto M_{200}^{-0.33}$. This disagreement suggests fundamental challenges in reproducing galactic structure properties even with self-interacting quantum dark matter [12].

6. Theoretical Implications and Model Comparison

6.1 Current Observational Status and Tensions

The fundamental distinction between Ultra-Light Dark Matter (ULDM) and Cold Dark Matter (CDM) stems from their underlying physics, leading to dramatically different predictions for how galactic structures form. While CDM operates as a classical, collisionless particle governed solely by gravity, ULDM is a quantum mechanical field. This quantum nature manifests as wave interference and pressure support on galactic scales, allowing ULDM to intrinsically solve several small-scale structure problems that have long plagued the classical CDM paradigm. Con-

ISSN 2959-6157

sequently, ULDM models can explain key observations without relying on the complex and often fine-tuned baryonic feedback mechanisms required by CDM [1, 2].

Nowhere are these differences more apparent than in the core-cusp problem. CDM simulations consistently predict steep, "cuspy" central density profiles ($\rho \propto r^{-1}$) due to gravitational collapse, a feature that contradicts observations of flat-cored galaxies. To reconcile this, CDM models must invoke uncertain and complex feedback from stars or active galactic nuclei (AGN). ULDM, however, offers a natural solution. Its inherent quantum pressure directly produces the observed flat cores, with the core's properties determined by the fundamental mass of the dark matter particle itself, rather than by messy astrophysical processes [1, 2].

Similarly, the models diverge on the abundance of small-scale structures. The standard CDM model predicts a wealth of substructure down to very small mass scales, far more than are observed in satellite galaxy populations. Matching observations requires this overabundance to be selectively destroyed through complex processes like reionization or stellar feedback. ULDM, on the other hand, avoids this issue entirely by introducing a natural cutoff in structure formation below its characteristic de Broglie wavelength. This quantum effect automatically suppresses the formation of small halos, leading to a subhalo mass function with a sharp exponential drop-off rather than the gradual power-law decline predicted by CDM [1, 2].

These theoretical differences lead to distinct observational signatures that have no classical analogue. The wave-like nature of ULDM can cause interference effects that modify galaxy merger rates and delay the overall timeline of structure formation compared to CDM. A direct consequence is a reduction in the number of early, star-forming halos, which in turn would delay the onset of cosmic reionization. High-redshift observations from telescopes like the JWST are now providing crucial tests of these competing cosmic histories, offering a powerful way to distinguish between the two models [1, 2].

Finally, the parameter space of each model presents a distinct set of advantages and challenges. While CDM's flexibility comes at the cost of numerous uncertain parameters related to baryonic feedback, ULDM is elegantly simple, with its core quantum effects governed by a single parameter: the particle's mass. This simplicity is a powerful advantage, as it allows for clear, testable predictions across all the phenomena discussed. However, this is also a potential limitation. The model's rigidity means that if precise mass determinations from different types of observations were to disagree, it would create significant tension for the ULDM paradigm as a whole [1, 2].

6.2 Current Observational Status and Tensions

Ultra-Light Dark Matter models connect cosmic structure formation to several fundamental physics paradigms, most notably axion dark matter arising from string theory constructions. The mass scales required for galactic-scale quantum effects naturally emerge from post-inflationary symmetry breaking scenarios, where the axion decay constant determines the particle mass through $f_a \sim 10^{16-17}\,\text{GeV for} m \sim 10^{-22}\,\text{eV}$. These connections provide theoretical motivation for ULDM beyond purely phenomenological considerations of galactic structure problems [1,10].

Laboratory searches for axion dark matter through haloscope experiments and cavity resonators probe complementary parameter spaces to cosmological observations. The coupling strengths between axions and electromagnetic fields create potentially detectable signatures in precision experiments, while nuclear interactions generate distinctive effects in atomic clock measurements. However, the extremely weak coupling required for cosmologically stable axions pushes detection thresholds to the limits of current experimental capabilities [1,10].

Modified gravity theories provide alternative interpretations of ULDM phenomena through geometric rather than matter-based explanations. Extended gravity models such as f(Q) gravity can reproduce solitonic core structures and wave-like behavior without requiring exotic matter fields [14,16]. These approaches reinterpret quantum pressure effects as manifestations of non-Einsteinian gravitational dynamics, potentially resolving dark matter problems through modified spacetime geometry [1].

Emergent gravity frameworks suggest that gravitational interactions arise from quantum entanglement in underlying microscopic degrees of freedom [1]. In these scenarios, dark matter represents collective excitations of entangled quantum fields rather than fundamental particles, naturally explaining the wave-like behavior observed in ULDM models. The macroscopic coherence required for galactic-scale quantum effects emerges from long-range entanglement correlations that persist across cosmic distances [1,14].

Extra-dimensional theories contribute additional perspectives on ULDM physics through Kaluza-Klein modes of higher-dimensional fields. Compactification of extra spatial dimensions creates towers of massive states that can serve as dark matter candidates, with masses determined by the compactification scale and geometry. Wave dark matter behavior emerges naturally when the Kaluza-Klein mass spectrum includes ultra-light modes with galactic-scale Compton wavelengths [1.14].

The environmental dependence of quantum effects in ULDM models suggests screening mechanisms that modify dark matter behavior based on local conditions. Dense environments such as galaxy clusters may suppress quantum coherence through decoherence effects, causing ULDM to behave more like classical CDM at high densities. These screening mechanisms could explain why ULDM successfully addresses small-scale problems in dwarf galaxies while maintaining CDM-like behavior in massive systems [1,14].

7. Challenges and Future Directions

7.1 Current Observational Status and Tensions

ULDM observational validation faces significant challenges across multiple scales. Current telescopes lack resolution to detect quantum granularity effects, while atmospheric disturbances and instrumental systematics complicate distinguishing quantum signatures from conventional phenomena [1].

Systematic uncertainties in baryonic feedback modeling contaminate dark matter signals [17]. Star formation, supernovae, and AGN feedback can modify density profiles mimicking quantum pressure effects, requiring careful theoretical modeling to break degeneracies [1].

Model degeneracies present additional challenges, as different theoretical frameworks can produce similar observational signatures [1]. Self-interacting dark matter, modified gravity theories, and ULDM variants all predict cored density profiles, making discriminating observations crucial but difficult to achieve [14]. The parameter space overlap between competing models necessitates precision measurements that exceed current observational capabilities [5].

Theoretical uncertainties limit predictive power across ULDM scenarios [1]. The unknown self-interaction strength in SIBEC-DM models introduces free parameters that reduce testability [12]. Multi-field dynamics beyond single-component ULDM add complexity that current analytical methods cannot fully capture [10]. Quantum decoherence effects in realistic cosmic environments remain poorly understood, particularly regarding the survival of macroscopic coherence over cosmological timescales [1].

7.2 Current Observational Status and Tensions

Next-generation observational facilities offer unprecedented opportunities for ULDM detection [5]. The James Webb Space Telescope enables high-redshift galaxy formation studies that probe the modified structure formation predicted by quantum dark matter models [1]. Extremely large telescopes will provide resolved stellar kinematics in nearby dwarf galaxies, allowing direct measurement of gravitational potentials in regions where quantum effects dominate [6].

Novel detection methods expand the search beyond traditional astronomical observations [6]. Laboratory analog experiments using ultracold atomic gases provide controlled environments for testing quantum dark matter physics [5]. Some theoretical work suggests that future advances in precision measurement techniques might eventually enable detection of dark matter field oscillations, though specific technological pathways remain speculative [6]. Advanced gravitational wave observatories may eventually achieve sensitivity to signatures from compact object interactions in ULDM environments [1].

Theoretical developments promise to resolve current uncertainties and expand model scope [1]. Relativistic extensions of ULDM theory will incorporate particle creation and quantum field effects in curved spacetime [10]. Advanced numerical methods combining quantum-classical dynamics with realistic baryonic physics will enable more predictive simulations [17]. Machine learning techniques applied to simulation data may reveal subtle patterns that distinguish quantum from classical dark matter signatures [1].

The integration of ULDM with broader fundamental physics frameworks offers long-term research directions [14]. Connections to axion physics and extensions to the Standard Model could provide natural theoretical foundations for effective field theory descriptions [1]. Multi-messenger astronomy approaches combining electromagnetic and gravitational wave observations will test quantum dark matter across all accessible scales [6]. Future advances in both theoretical understanding and observational capabilities will be necessary to fully evaluate the viability of quantum dark matter models [1].

8. Conclusion

The central finding of this investigation is the fundamental tension between observational constraints on Ultra-Light Dark Matter: while galactic dynamics favor a particle mass of $\sim 10^{-22}\,\mathrm{eV}$, Lyman-α forest observations require $m > 2.3\times10^{-21}\,\mathrm{eV}$. This nearly two-order-of-magnitude discrepancy represents a critical challenge to the viability of simple ULDM models, with no clear resolution currently available despite the model's elegant solutions to small-scale structure problems.

The Schrödinger-Poisson framework demonstrates how macroscopic quantum coherence could emerge at galactic

ISSN 2959-6157

scales for ultra-light bosonic particles, offering intrinsic solutions to small-scale structure problems without requiring additional baryonic feedback mechanisms. Quantum effects such as wave interference, granularity, and solitonic cores create distinctive observational signatures that could differentiate ULDM from classical dark matter, producing flat central density profiles and naturally suppressing small-scale structure formation.

Numerical simulations highlight the immense computational complexity of modeling quantum dark matter, requiring approximations that may obscure essential physics. Environmental effects significantly modify idealized predictions, necessitating multi-physics simulations for robust observational comparisons.

Future advances will require theoretically rigorous models that can naturally reconcile the mass-scale tension, potentially through multiple fields, non-standard self-interactions, or alternative cosmological histories. Next-generation observational facilities like JWST and extremely large telescopes may finally detect quantum signatures or rule out ULDM definitively. The question of whether quantum mechanics operates at galactic scales remains open, challenging the fundamental understanding of dark matter and potentially revealing new physics at the intersection of quantum theory and cosmology.

References

[1] Ferreira E. G. M. Ultra-light dark matter. The Astronomy and Astrophysics Review, 2021, 29: 7.

[2] Matos T., Ureña-López L. A., Lee J. W. Short review of the main achievements of the scalar field, fuzzy, ultralight, wave, BEC dark matter model. Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences, 2024, 11: 1347518.

[3] Harko T., Madarassy E. J. Bose–Einstein Condensate dark matter models in the presence of baryonic matter and random confining potentials. The European Physical Journal C, 2022, 82: 401.

[4] Pozo A., Emami R., Mocz P., et al. Galaxy formation with wave/fuzzy dark matter: The core-halo structure and the solitonic imprint. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 2025, 699: A308.

[5] Flitter J., Kovetz E. D. Closing the window on fuzzy dark matter with the 21-cm signal. Physical Review D, 2022, 106: 063504.

[6] Friel M., Gjerloev J. W., Kalia S., Zamora A. Search for ultralight dark matter in the SuperMAG high-fidelity dataset. Physical Review D, 2024, 110: 115036.

[7] Palencia J. M., et al. Signatures of Fuzzy Dark Matter Inside Radial Critical Curves. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 2025, 701: A112.

[8] Koudmani S., Rennehan D., Somerville R. S., Hayward C. C., Anglés-Alcázar D., Orr M. E., Sands I. S., Wellons S. Diverse dark matter profiles in FIRE dwarfs: Black holes, cosmic rays and the cusp–core enigma. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2025, 540: 1928-1950.

[9] Salasnich L., Yakimenko A. Collective excitations of self-gravitating ultralight dark matter cores. Physics of the Dark Universe, 2025, 49: 101973.

[10] Lu S., Ilyas A., Ma X.-H., Wang B., Zhang D., Cai Y.-F. Ultra-light dark matter with non-canonical kinetics reopening the mass window. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2025, 2025: 086.

[11] Mukherjee S., Aswathi P. S., Singha C., Ganguly A. Bose-Einstein Condensate Dark Matter in the Core of Neutron Stars: Implications for Gravitational-wave Observations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.22353, 2025.

[12] Hartman S. T. H., Winther H. A., Mota D. F. Cosmological simulations of self-interacting Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 2022, 667: A71.

[13] Tan C., Le Delliou M., Wang K. Diversity of fuzzy dark matter solitons. Physical Review D, 2025, 112: 063021.

[14] Bhat A., Solanki R., Sahoo P. K. Extended Bose–Einstein condensate dark matter in f(Q) gravity. General Relativity and Gravitation, 2024, 56: 63.

[15] Kawai H., Meneghetti M. Galaxy-galaxy strong lensing cross-section with fuzzy dark matter model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.14543, 2024.

[16] Rudra P. Gravitational collapse of Bose–Einstein condensate dark matter in generalized Vaidya spacetime. General Relativity and Gravitation, 2025, 57: 62.

[17] Arjona-Gálvez E., Di Cintio A., Grand R. J. J. The role of AGN feedback on the evolution of dwarf galaxies from cosmological simulations. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 2024, 690: A286..